

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,641	01/10/2002	Craig William Christian	2000.060500	8620

04/16/2003

23720

WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C. 10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100

HOUSTON, TX 77042

EXAMINER ROMAN, ANGEL

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2812

DATE MAILED: 04/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

_	91
Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/936,041	YUDASAKA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Angel Roman	2812

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 08 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

b) The period for reply expires on: (i) the maining date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set until unit in the interpretation of the date set until unit in the interpretation of the date set until unit in the mailing date of the final rejection. Only CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension as the been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the Fine appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the Fine appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the Fine appropriate extension are used for fine of the fine amount of the fine appropriate extension are fine fine of the fine of the fine and fine amount of the fine of the f	on
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2.☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ∆	:
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7. A For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: 1-15.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 16-24.	
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
0. Other:	

1.



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendments to claims 1 and 14 are considered to be new issues since there was not a finally rejected claim which incorporated the new limitations of claims 1 and 14...

John F. Niebling Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800